Cost Estimate Q and A:

Q: How can the bored tunnel option be \$3.5 billion when compared to figures from the Cascadia Institute indicate that the cost should be much less?

A. The bored tunnel cost estimate includes many project elements in addition to the tunnel itself, including connecting roadways at each end near King Street and Mercer, the central seawall from Washington to Pine Street, viaduct removal, waterfront utility relocations, a new connecting roadway from the waterfront to Belltown and surface Alaskan Way restoration. The estimated cost for the bored tunnel and connecting roadways is \$2.5 billion, while the remaining project elements are estimated to cost \$1 billion. Of the \$2.5 billion, about \$1 billion is included to account for escalation, contingencies, and risk.

If the Battery Street tunnel were to be de-commissioned after opening the new tunnel, additional savings of up to \$100 million could be realized.

Project Element	Cost	Potential Funding	Potential Amount
		Source	
Bored Tunnel and	\$1.5 billion		
Approach structures			
Bored Tunnel	\$1.0 billion		
Escalation, Risk and			
Contingencies			
		Central Waterfront	\$1.3 billion
		funding: TPA,	
		Federal, Nickel	
		Additional verbal	\$400 million
		commitment from	
		Governor	
		Savings in Moving	\$200 million
		Forward Program*	
		Tolling Revenue**	\$??? million
		Federal Stimulus	???
		Package	

A quick summary of potential sources and uses indicates that a bored tunnel solution is worthy of continued study:

*Savings TBD but could include elimination of King Street viaduct transition structure, elimination of Lenora to BST project and BST decommissioning

**The feasibility of tolling is unknown at this time.

Seawall, Waterfront	\$600 million		
Utilities, Armory			
Way connector,			
Alaskan Way			
restoration,			
waterfront street car			
and waterfront			
urban design			
Escalation, Risk and	\$400 million		
Contingencies for			
above items			
		Waterfront LID	???
		Utility Rate Increase	???
		Corps of Engineers	???
		Seawall Funding	

Decoupling seawall and waterfront reconstruction from the SR 99 bored tunnel construction could have financing advantages due to the flexibility it provides in the timing of projects and the timing of potential revenues.

Q: Why does the bored tunnel option take so long to construct? Could it be constructed faster?

A: While the overall project might take up to $9\frac{1}{2}$ years, traffic would be operating in the new tunnel about $7\frac{1}{2}$ years after the start of construction. Several factors could reduce that duration even further: using two boring machines instead of one- this could save 18 months; increased production rates- if the tunnel machines progress more quickly than our more conservative assumptions, additional time could be saved.

An important consideration is that while the overall duration is longer than the other options, construction and traffic impacts could be reduced dramatically if the viaduct were to remain open during tunnel construction.