
From: White, John
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2009 4:04 PM
To: Visconty, Sasha (Consultant); Paananen, Ron
Subject: RE: Environmental strategy

If we want someone there for tunnel constructability, we can bring John Reilly or Don Phelps.

From: Visconty, Sasha (Consultant)
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2009 3:11 PM
To: White, John; Paananen, Ron
Subject: RE: Environmental strategy

Thanks Ron,

This sounds like a great plan. I am in total support of asking Kimberly to participate- I actually think having her there is critical. Bryce will be very helpful to have there as well.

There may be some questions related to tunnel constructability that would be helpful to have the answers to for this conversation (from the environmental side). I don't have a list now but will soon and will let you know what they are before we meet.

Thanks!
Sasha

From: White, John
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2009 2:16 PM
To: Paananen, Ron; Visconty, Sasha (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Environmental strategy

Agreed. Me and Sasha had a lengthy conversation about this yesterday. I would say the AGO invite has to include Bryce. Part of the discussion we had yesterday was that we really should have an external NEPA policy legal expert in our court, which I was going to discuss with Bryce later this week. It would be nice if we could bring in someone who we have convenient access to, assuming Bryce OK's it.

John

From: Paananen, Ron
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2009 2:06 PM
To: White, John; Visconty, Sasha (Consultant)
Subject: Environmental strategy

Talked to Dave about NEPA / SEPA Strategy for a potential bored tunnel. We decided there needs to be a strategy meeting. I would suggest the following as a start for attendees.

Dave
Ron
John
Sasha
Deborah Cade
Steve Reinmuth
Megan White

Kimberly Farley could participate if she is willing to do it for free (I'll talk to Kimberly).

Others you can think of?

We need to develop a strategy that allows a DB RFP by September 2010.

The goal is to have an environmental process with one alternative, like we did with the South End. Should we consider picking up the old EIS and doing a new supplement? Are we better off doing SEPA only (no federal funds). Who should be the lead agencies (WSDOT / FHWA only?). Lets put together the list of issues over the next couple of days. Other baseline assumptions include no seawall work, viaduct demolition, minimal work on Alaskan Way, This effort is really to define the minimum scope involved in building a bored tunnel. The no-build will be viaduct closed.

I would shoot for the 22nd or 23rd as potential dates.