

VandenBerghe, Alissa (Consultant)

From: White, John
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2009 3:30 PM
To: Schuster, Chad (Consultant); Phelps, Don (Consultant); Clark, Gordon T. (Consultant)
Cc: Beadle, Steve; Amiri, Ali; Williamson, Alec; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Preedy, Matt; Greco, Theresa
Subject: RE: 4/7 KC Metro meeting

When this meeting first came up, my opinion was that we should put it off until we are better prepared to talk about options that had been properly vetted. Because it was confirmed and close the the date, we held the meeting and tried to keep things very general. Reading the notes from the meeting, and looking at where we are today, I have some observations:

- We should not be speaking to First Ave closure periods related to portal work outside of this office/team yet (i.e. up to 18 months of closure). Too preliminary, we know we need to reduce those impacts, and if that kind of statement gets out publicly it will just cause us problems.
- We are not much further along on the details from what was mentioned in meeting number one. If the intent is to speak to the corridor closures and detours related to the tunnel work, we have not vetted those internally yet, and should not be speaking to Metro about early ideas that haven't been vetted through management and our execs.
- We would be much better served having this type of meeting after the proposed workshops where we hopefully evolve our planning related to construction approaches and staging.

I know the intent to coordinate early with Metro is good, but I recommend we hold off speaking further to Metro about potential closures and detours until we have better defined plans that have been approved internally for presentation.

John

From: Schuster, Chad (Consultant)
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2009 11:47 AM
To: Phelps, Don (Consultant); Clark, Gordon T. (Consultant)
Cc: Beadle, Steve; Amiri, Ali; Williamson, Alec; White, John; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Subject: 4/7 KC Metro meeting

Don and Gordon,

I wanted to give you a little bit of background regarding our 4/7 meeting with KC Metro. Ali, Steve Beadle and myself met with KC Metro representatives in mid-February to give them an informal update on Holgate to King. A summary of that meeting is attached.

The 4/7 follow-up meeting was scheduled at the request of KC Metro's Irin Limargo. While the original intent of this meeting was to focus on the south end, Alec and Ali decided it would be better to discuss the south end design alongside the bored tunnel, to give Metro a better idea of how the whole program might impact their service.

Neither Ali nor Alec are able to attend. Steve Beadle will be there to speak to the south end. Alec asked me to invite the two of you to speak to the bored tunnel design. We won't be preparing a formal presentation, but will bring a role plot of the south portal to aid the south end portion of the discussion. Please bring whatever materials you think would be appropriate to give a high level overview (role plots would be best, since we don't want to leave anything with them).

I've scheduled a brief prep session on the morning of the 7th to make sure we're all on the same page going in to the meeting. I've copied John White, Ali and Alec on this message in case they have any guidance about what we should

or should not discuss at this meeting. In the meantime, please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Chad Schuster

Communications and Public Involvement
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program

(206) 267-3830

schustc@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov