From: White, John

Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2008 9:44 AM

To: Paananen, Ron

Subject: Re: Tri-Agency, bored tunnel

Haha, understood. Its tough to tell the teal to pull back without knowing exec expectations. I didn't have a clear read on single bore design opinion from last Friday, though I sensed that it was all irrelevant.

Keep in mind that if we are to come out on Thurs with single bore numbers, we better review assumptions (i.e. BST and seawall, in or out?). I hope that the plan today is to also review what pieces are in and out of each hybrid, especially the list of those in currently counted but in question.

----- Original Message -----From: Paananen, Ron To: White, John

Sent: Tue Dec 09 09:29:28 2008 Subject: Re: Tri-Agency, bored tunnel

Thanks John. Some ideas dye hard. The next couple of weeks will be interesting as every SR 99 building block has a constituency. I will check in as soon as we have direction.

---- Original Message -----From: White, John

To: Paananen, Ron

Sent: Tue Dec 09 09:21:50 2008 Subject: Tri-Agency, bored tunnel

Ron,

Please let me know promptly if the bored tunnel is making the short list, and if so, single or double. The team continues to refine the single bore option and costs, and my feeling is that it may all be a waste of time and money, since it still is \$1B over what we have in hand.

I worry the team is disconnecting from expectations a bit.

John