
From: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 9:04 AM
To: White, John; Paananen, Ron; Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant)
Subject: RE: AWV Communications Stories - May 8, 2009

Great - thanks. I left Margie a voicemail asking for a correction, and will also send an email.

-Kristy

From: White, John
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 8:54 AM
To: Paananen, Ron; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant)
Subject: Re: AWV Communications Stories - May 8, 2009

Concur with Ron, there has always been a conservative budget placeholder for that connection.

From: Paananen, Ron
To: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant)
Sent: Fri May 08 08:46:02 2009
Subject: RE: AWV Communications Stories - May 8, 2009

The temporary connection has been included in the south end estimate since moving forward was first developed in March 2007. We talked publically about the temporary connection being part of the estimate, and even stated publically that we hoped it wouldn't be needed if we tore the viaduct down in 2012.

I'm not sure how Margie got it wrong.

From: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 8:36 AM
To: Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Paananen, Ron; White, John
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant)
Subject: FW: AWV Communications Stories - May 8, 2009
Importance: High

It's my understanding that the below sentence from Margie, in today's DJC, is incorrect. Can you confirm? We always knew that there would be a temporary connection between the south end, and whatever was decided as the new piece for the central waterfront, be it above ground, below ground, or at-grade. This is not an "add" as Margie describes it.

DJC article:

WSDOT is already adding to the bored tunnel project. Last month WSDOT said it will need to

6/30/2009

add a temporary bridge between the viaduct's rebuilt south end and its central waterfront section so that traffic can continue to use the viaduct while the bored tunnel is constructed.

From: VandenBerghe, Alissa (Consultant)
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 8:24 AM
Subject: AWW Communications Stories - May 8, 2009

WSDOT: viaduct tunnel won't be another Big Dig
Article attached
Seattle's Daily Journal of Commerce – May 8, 2009

Sinkholes cause concern about tunnel projects
www.king5.com/topstories/stories/NW_050609WAB-sinkholes-tunnel-projects-SW.26949749.html?rss
KING 5 – May 6, 2009

Blogs

Details: The new group focused on “our side” of The Viaduct
westseattleblog.com/blog/?p=16618
West Seattle Blog – May 7, 2009

Brown: Viaduct tunnel cost amendment to be revisited
blog.seattlepi.com/seattlepolitics/archives/168184.asp?source=rss
The Seattle Post-Intelligencer – May 7, 2009

Alissa VandenBerghe
Communications Team
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program
206.267.3780
vandena@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov

For more information on the project, visit www.alaskanwayviaduct.org