
From: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 2:22 PM
To: Paananen, Ron; Preedy, Matt; White, John
Cc: Greco, Theresa; Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWV

Excellent point. I suggest that we do a joint response - I can send the draft over to the City and County to get input.

From: Paananen, Ron
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 2:20 PM
To: Preedy, Matt; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Greco, Theresa; Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWV

Is this joint City / WSDOT / County response? Seems like it should be since we are referencing city street and transit improvements.

From: Preedy, Matt
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 6:00 PM
To: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Greco, Theresa; Paananen, Ron; Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: FW: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWV

I made some comments in the attachment. Since John is the director, and since Burien city council is an elected body, the reply should probably be sent by John.

Matt.

From: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 4:34 PM
To: White, John; Preedy, Matt; Greco, Theresa; Paananen, Ron
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWV

Attached is a draft response for Burien. Please let me know if you'd like us to put it on letterhead, or if you would rather email it out. I suggest that it be sent from one of your addresses instead of the viaduct@wsdot.wa.gov account.

Thanks,
Kristy

From: White, John
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 11:27 AM
To: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Preedy, Matt; Greco, Theresa; Paananen, Ron
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWV

I concur that we could send them a summary of the future capacity as compared to today, and could attach the volume distribution graphic showing how things work today that we can compare against.

From: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 11:17 AM
To: White, John; Preedy, Matt; Greco, Theresa; Paananen, Ron
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Turner, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: Burien City Council meeting - concerns re: AWW

The below blurb is from the Burien City Council meeting. Think it would be good to send them a note explaining the future capacity with the bored tunnel hybrid alternative?

-Kristy

<http://www.b-townblog.com/2009/03/24/notes-from-monday-nights-city-council-meeting-2/>

Here are notes from Monday night's (Mar. 23rd) Burien City Council meeting (link to PDF agenda [here](#)):

VIADUCT REPLACEMENT

The topic of replacement options for the Seattle Viaduct has been an intense one. No matter which neighborhood you live in, this topic affects us all (especially us 509/99 users who love to get downtown quickly). The City of Burien reviewed their stance last night, suggesting they draft a letter pointing out their general concerns for the impacts made to residents in our community and neither taking a pro or con vote on the matter in general, until their specific issues are addresses.

“I don't think there is any way I could support this,” said councilmember Gordon Shaw. “My belief is that reducing the capacity does not create a viable alternative. They ought to go with a bigger tunnel if they are going with a tunnel. The current bored tunnel solution is not a solution.”