
From: Preedy, Matt
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 7:45 AM
To: White, John; Greco, Theresa
Subject: Re: AWV Bored Tunnel RFQ/RFP Preparation

I had a sit down yesterday afternoon with Eldon, Don and Roland. We have a plan of attack, will brief you this morning.

From: White, John
To: Greco, Theresa; Preedy, Matt
Sent: Wed May 13 22:34:56 2009
Subject: Re: AWV Bored Tunnel RFQ/RFP Preparation

Let's request a review with Eldon then. Whatever we do, we need to move quickly, but not sacrifice our principles in the approach to developing the scopes and budget needs

From: Greco, Theresa
To: White, John; Preedy, Matt
Sent: Wed May 13 21:59:52 2009
Subject: FW: AWV Bored Tunnel RFQ/RFP Preparation

FYI - we just signed a \$300K+ Task Order for Eldon's participation. I am not pleased by Mike's statement in the final paragraph since they have budget and staff currently dedicated to the immediate work. Mike did not bring up any specifics about his concern on schedule risk at our meeting on Friday and I am surprised by his strong statement. We agreed on Friday that they would get a scope to us today with our turnaround by Friday. His approach in this email is characteristic of his past practices that accelerates work prior to approval and budget. I personally believe we need Eldon talking to us about schedule risks on the RFP and not funneled through Mike.

Theresa

From: Rigsby, Mike (Consultant)
Sent: Wed 5/13/2009 1:40 PM
To: White, John
Cc: Preedy, Matt; Everett, Susan; Greco, Theresa; Reilly, John; Reilly, John; Bohlke, Brenda (Consultant); bmbohlke@hotmail.com; Phelps, Don (Consultant); Conte, Rick (Consultant); Clark, Gordon T. (Consultant); 'abbott@pbworld.com'
Subject: AWV Bored Tunnel RFQ/RFP Preparation

John,
Eldon, Rick, Gordon, and I have developed the attached documents for the AWV Bored Tunnel RFQ/RFP in an effort to help expedite the work planning process.

1. **Draft Work Plan Task List.** This document outlines all the preliminary engineering and RFQ/RFP preparation tasks by discipline. It also indicates which tasks result in Deliverables (D) and which are primarily an Assembly (A) of work done by us or others. The Lead/Support column indicates the role of the PB team in that task, and is, of course, totally up to you. We have put down our best shot based on discussions to date. Overall, this is a pretty good compilation of the tremendous amount of work that need to be done.
2. **Draft Work Plan Schedule.** This is a very high level, preliminary schedule based on the current milestones as we understand them. Once the work plan is approved, this will need to be fleshed out in much greater

detail, but this is a starting point.

3. Draft Task Order CL Amendment Scope. This is a draft of a proposed amendment to Task Order CL that would incorporate the task list and schedule. We recommend that some version of this be processed in an expedited manner.

In addition, we would like for you to consider an immediate amendment to our current Task Order CL that currently funds just Eldon's participation. We would like to add an additional 4 FTE to provide Eldon with some immediate resources to begin to get this important work going. We are concerned that if we don't move quickly the overall schedule is at risk. We would like to complete the amendment this week so these people can begin work next week, if possible.

- TBM/Constructability/Risk - Joe O'Carroll
- Structural Engineer - Jim Schettler
- Geotechnical Engineer - Don Richards
- RFP Coordinator - Peter Donahue (.25)/Glenn Cross (.75)

We are trying to be as proactive as possible and do not mean to presume or preclude any decisions by WSDOT on how this work should be done or who should do it. We want to work closely with you to get a defined scope, schedule, and budget and do all we can to help you deliver. Please let me know if you have any questions or need more information. Thanks, John.

Mike Rigsby
Parsons Brinckerhoff
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program
206-382-6352