From: Preedy, Matt

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 12:14 PM **To:** White, John; Bandy, Mark; Graham, Jack

Subject: RE: SR 99 Bored Tunnel - limits of maintenance and operations

I can't make it either, looking forward to the follow-up. On a side note, Mark indicated the H2K design standard was "about to change". I became aware of that yesterday and put the brakes on it. H2K will continue with it's current design standard philosophy, anything else would have an unacceptable impact to budget and schedule.

Matt.

From: White, John

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:27 AM To: Bandy, Mark; Graham, Jack

Cc: Preedy, Matt

Subject: RE: SR 99 Bored Tunnel - limits of maintenance and operations

Thanks for the invite/heads up Mark. I have multiple conflicts that would make it hard to participate, looks like Matt might also. I nothing else we do need to follow up on outcomes, and I agree with you that based on the outcomes we need to get a related agenda item on an upcoming AWV exec strategy meeting.

John

From: Bandy, Mark On Behalf Of Graham, Jack Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 9:10 AM

To: Preedy, Matt; White, John

Subject: FW: SR 99 Bored Tunnel - limits of maintenance and operations

When: Monday, April 06, 2009 10:30 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Dayton Conference Room 6A / Jack x4351

Hi John and Matt.

I'm forwarding this as fyi and in the event that you or someone from the project wants to participate in this discussion with the maintenance folks in the room. The goal would be to layout what limits make the most sense from an O&M perspective, then sit down with you & Ron P. for a briefing (likely at one of the friday strategic meetings), then on to the city. Per a discussion I had with Ron, agreeing to the tunnel did not commit us to O&M of the H to K stretch so a definition step is in order, and it may be different than what the city is expecting. The current H to K design is to city standards but that was about to change - with us being at 90%+ we need to make a decision about the design approach asap.

Let me know if you want to participate or just hear about the outcome. Mark

----Original Appointment-----Organizer: Graham, Jack Sent: Tue 3/31/2009 7:20 AM

Required: Bandy, Mark; Leth, Mark; McCormick, Dave; Moylan, Pat; Balogh, Morgan; McBride, James; Allen, Archie;

Renshaw, Mark; Cornelius, Jon (NW Signals); Althauser, Jerry Subject: SR 99 Bored Tunnel - limits of maintenance and operations

When: Monday, April 06, 2009 10:30 AM-12:00 PM Location: Dayton Conference Room 6A / Jack x4351

Here is the meeting location for this April 6th meeting.

Thanks! Jack Graham 206-440-4351 From: Bandy, Mark

Sent: Friday, March 27, 2009 3:19 PM

To: Bandy, Mark; Leth, Mark; McCormick, Dave; Moylan, Pat; Balogh, Morgan; McBride, James; Allen, Archie; Renshaw,

Mark; Cornelius, Jon (NW Signals); Althauser, Jerry

Subject: SR 99 Bored Tunnel - limits of maintenance and operations

When: Monday, April 06, 2009 10:30 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Dayton

Hi everyone,

Based on a conversation with McCormick this morning, we agreed that it would be good for all of us to sit down in the same place and layout what we would like to be the limits of WSDOT maintenance and operations responsibilities for the new bored tunnel. I will bring some graphics of the south and north portal areas as well as the Holgate to King project with the idea that we will mark them up to reflect the demarcation between our O&M and city O&M. We'll cover all aspects - roadway, structures, tunnel, signals & ITS, signing, striping, IR, snow & ice. We would then take this as a proposal up our internal chain then on for discussion with the city.

Jim - I know you are on vacation but this date and time appeared to work for everyone else. Please share any thoughts you might have with us prior to your vacation or have Mark Renshaw bring them to the meeting.

Thanks, Mark