

---

**From:** Leathers, Kathryn [Leathers.Kathryn@leg.wa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 07, 2009 8:27 AM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron; Hammond, Paula  
**Subject:** RE: AWV - Rep. Dickerson Amd

Thank you, Ron. I'll try to incorporate those comments. Kathryn

-----Original Message-----

From: Paananen, Ron [mailto:PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 9:23 PM  
To: Hammond, Paula; Leathers, Kathryn  
Subject: RE: AWV - Rep. Dickerson Amd

I will add a little more to the Aurora Bridge discussion.

There are backups on SR 99 at the south end of the Aurora Bridge due to the ramps that access north Queen Anne. The local street intersections near the bridge at these ramps can be managed better to prevent backups onto SR 99. To a lesser degree, the same is true for the northbound off ramp to Bridge Way at the north end of the bridge.

---

From: Hammond, Paula  
Sent: Mon 4/6/2009 4:22 PM  
To: 'Leathers, Kathryn'; Paananen, Ron  
Subject: RE: AWV - Rep. Dickerson Amd

Kathryn,  
Ron is driving, so I'm reading this to him, and I'll type our response in blue below:  
Paula

---

From: Leathers, Kathryn [mailto:Leathers.Kathryn@leg.wa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 3:55 PM  
To: Paananen, Ron  
Cc: Hammond, Paula  
Subject: AWV - Rep. Dickerson Amd  
Importance: High

Hi Ron,

At Rep. Dickerson's request, I asked the City to identify specific language in the amendment that they believed addressed state responsibilities. Below is their initial response. Rep. Dickerson has asked me to work with you/WSDOT for the purpose of getting this amendment right - that is, it is her intent to exclude work that is the state's responsibility.

In other words, I will be re-drafting her amendment, as needed, and I need some help in getting it right. Can you please review the City's responses, including the comment made by David, and let me know whether the City's comments accurately reflect WSDOT's understanding of the state's responsibilities?

Thank you,

Kathryn - 786-7114

From: davidfoster9@gmail.com [mailto:davidfoster9@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 3:06 PM  
To: Leathers, Kathryn  
Subject: Fw: Amendment

Kathryn - Here's a quick response. We also believe this puts the federal funding for Spokane St at risk due to the timing of the legislation/bids and federal requirements.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

---

From: "Robert Powers"  
Date: Mon, 06 Apr 2009 13:19:51 -0700  
To: <davidfoster9@gmail.com>; Andrew Glass Hastings<andrew.glasshastings@Seattle.Gov>; Bob Chandler<Bob.Chandler@Seattle.Gov>; Tracie Sunday<Tracie.Sunday@Seattle.Gov>; Tracy Burrows<Tracy.Burrows@Seattle.Gov>  
Subject: Amendment

hey David - give me a call when you get this

Powers

Page 1, Section B, lines 20-23:

\* There are no traffic lights on SR 99 between Spokane Street and the Aurora Bridge, nor are there any planned as part of this project. True, and SR 99 will become the tunnel.

Page 1, Section C, lines 24-27 through page 2, lines 1-2:

\* The State is responsible for the design and construction of the SR 99 South End Replacement (from Holgate to King streets). True

\* The State is responsible for the design and construction of the SR 519 Project True

Page 2, Section D, lines 3-5:

\* The city is working with the state on the design of the north portal to the bored tunnel, which will play an important role in providing access. The state is responsible for construction, and associated costs, of the north portal as part of the agreement. This is a true statement

Page 2, Section B, lines 16-17:

\* Policies related to the Aurora Bridge are a state responsibility. The city operates the Aurora Bridge by state law, and operates city streets that are adjacent to the Aurora Bridge. I'm assuming "Policies" means operational policies, so these are the city's responsibility. I don't know what the issue is with on-street parking, but the city controls that.

Page 2, Section B(iii), lines 22-23:

\* The city is working with the state on the design of the north portal to the bored tunnel, which will play an important role in providing access. The state is responsible for construction, and associated costs, of the north portal as part of the agreement. This is a true statement

Page 2, section C, lines 32-34 through Page 3, lines 1-2:

\* The city is not responsible for the costs associated with construction of the new Alaskan Way, the SR 99 South End replacement and the SR 519 projects. This section should be clarified to reflect that. (For example - the city is responsible for the efficient operation of Alaskan Way, but not for building it.) This is a true statement and a good clarification.