Re: Viaduct Amendment Page 1 of 2

From: Hammond, Paula

**Sent:** Friday, April 10, 2009 3:17 PM

To: Judd, Ron; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer; Paananen, Ron

Subject: Re: Viaduct Amendment

Wet. But I found the bar, and they have a candy counter. Life is good.

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer; Paananen, Ron

Sent: Fri Apr 10 14:55:22 2009 Subject: Re: Viaduct Amendment

Everythings going to be ok. How's the water slide?

---- Original Message -----

From: Hammond, Paula < HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV); Paananen, Ron < PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Cc: Judd, Ron (GOV)

Sent: Fri Apr 10 14:42:29 2009 Subject: Re: Viaduct Amendment

And it's a big bunch of baloney. No one has worked with them on this. It's like saying we approved the Dickerson amendment.

Paula

---- Original Message -----

From: Dye, Dave

To: Ziegler, Jennifer; Paananen, Ron; Hammond, Paula

Cc: Judd, Ron

Sent: Fri Apr 10 12:03:45 2009 Subject: Re: Viaduct Amendment

To my knowledge the only interaction we have had is providing responses to a flurry of requests for current milestone data - mostly from katheryn - I see now how it has been used - disingenuous to say we worked with them on this (not Katheryns fault) - you can see the speakers play here very easily - "support the tunnel" but establish so many interim milestones (and work behind the scenes to ensure litigation and obstructionism) that we'll miss at least one of them and then the funds will be available for other LEAP list projects - I suppose then the viaduct project begins again from square one - that would obviously not be good...

So yes, we do have many concerns about the language - no other project is (or has ever) been subjected to these rules and it effectively empowers all project opponents to stop the project - we should oppose vigoursly or we'll never build the tunnel...or anything for that matter...

-dave

---- Original Message -----

From: Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV) < Jennifer. Ziegler@gov.wa.gov>

To: Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula

Cc: Judd, Ron

Sent: Fri Apr 10 11:34:19 2009 Subject: Viaduct Amendment

\*\*\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT ! \*

The content of this email was found to

contain potentially hostile or malicious content.

For your protection, eSafe2's Content Security Server has modified this email and removed the dangerous content.

\default.url Msg #705 - The file type url is on the Restricted List. <<default.url>> Attached is Rep. Morris's budget amendment regarding the Viaduct. Someone heard he worked with WSDOT folks on it. I'm just trying to track down whether anyone did help with this and whether we have concerns.

## Here is what it does:

- -- Caps the state contribution at \$2.4 billion
- --Requires that we have a binding agreement with the Port of Seattle by September 30, 2010, providing at least \$300 million. If there is no binding agreement, remaining Viaduct funding must be redistributed to other projects on the LEAP list.
- --If the construction of the deep bore tunnel does not begin by December 2011, funding must be redistributed to other projects on the LEAP list.
- --If the South end work is not completed by 2013 or is not within the estimated amount of \$556 million, funding must be redistributed to other projects on the LEAP list.
- --If transit enhancements are not complete by 2013 or cost more than \$110 million, funding must be redistributed to other projects on the LEAP list.

Seems to me we would have concerns. Let me know what you think.

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Shortcut to: http://flooractivityext.leg.wa.gov/default.aspx?chamber=3

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.