

VandenBerghe, Alissa (Consultant)

From: Gricar, Lara (Consultant)
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 3:05 PM
To: Pearce, Steve; Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Preedy, Matt
Subject: RE: Presentation and Prep Sheet for Design Commission Briefing Tomorrow

I have the visual simulations of the 8 scenarios as well as the bored tunnel simulation.

From: Steve Pearce [mailto:Steve.Pearce@Seattle.Gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 3:03 PM
To: Gricar, Lara (Consultant); Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Preedy, Matt
Subject: RE: Presentation and Prep Sheet for Design Commission Briefing Tomorrow

You have the visual sims of the 8 scenarios loaded on the computer somewhere right ? We will need those with the Commission

Steve

>>> "Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant)" <LenzK@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov> 1/15/2009 2:52 PM >>>
Steve and Matt,

We looked over the talking points for this presentation and decided to bring them up a level. The latest version (attached) discusses what the audience is looking at on each slide. As more information becomes available in the coming weeks, we can update the talking points. For now, I think we should stick to the messages we all agreed to. Please let me know if you have any concerns.

KaDeena

From: Steve Pearce [mailto:Steve.Pearce@Seattle.Gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 11:26 AM
To: Gricar, Lara (Consultant); White, John
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Bob Chandler; Tracie Sunday; Bandy, Mark; Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)
Subject: Re: Presentation and Prep Sheet for Design Commission Briefing Tomorrow

So Lara

Thanks. I have some edits to the presentation below.

There are some statements in the speaking points that are not quite correct and we need to shift our messaging a little.

First, the bored tunnel itself does not necessarily have capacity for future growth. 85,000 trips in 2015 is about as high as its going to go. There is some concern that 85,000 is too high and that we may need tolling to cut demand and get the tunnel to operate well. So we can talk about the

tunnel meeting demand for through trips in 2015. If you want to say something about future growth we can say that the bored tunnel is part of a package of transportation investments (including I-5, light rail and bus transit) that will help us meet travel demand generated by regional growth by 2030. By the way in my opinion there is no way we will get 40 % growth by 2030 - that number is out of date. With this severe recession we will be lucky to see 25 % growth.

Also while it is generally true that we can keep SR99 open during construction we are tending to oversell that and will get in trouble later if we don't start being clearer. There will be closure (detour to surface streets) while we connect the tunnel to SR99. We have said 3 months but there is a belief that it may be more like 6 to 12 months. Whatever the number we need to be clear that there will be some closure at some point. And of course there is partial closure associated with Holgate to King that the public will not distinguish as separate from this decision.

You don't have time for this today, but the project timeline graphic needs to be revised to show two more years of construction after the tunnel opens (tearing down Viaduct and building the new surface Alaskan Way, promenade and connection to Elliott/Western). I would make it a separate bar so as not to confuse the message that the tunnel will be open to traffic by the end of 2015.

For the Design Commission, I would move all discussion of the hybrids to the back pocket and lead with the decision.

We need to include the visual simulations as they have not seen them. We need to use some images of Scenario A that are essentially the same as the Alaskan Way surface street design now proposed for the Bored Tunnel.

So for the two portals we need to use Scenario F (F1 and F8 or revised images if available - I think I saw one for the north end). We will explain that the design will differ from the design shown - but that these images give a sense of what is proposed.

Then we need to have the following images of Scenario A: A2, A3, A5, A6 and A7.

Can we add to the back pocket the maps of the two hybrids ?

Thanks, Steve

>>> "Gricar, Lara (Consultant)" <gricarla@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov> 1/14/2009 5:04 PM >>>

John and Steve-

Attached are the following documents for the Seattle Design Commission Briefing on 1/15 (Thursday):

- Prep Sheet
- Presentation

Please let me know if you have any questions or would like for me to make any changes.

Thanks!

6/30/2009

Lara

Lara Gricar

Communications and Public Involvement
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program
(206) 267-6822
gricarla@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov