
From: Dye, Dave
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2009 9:01 AM
To: Ziegler, Jennifer; Paananen, Ron
Subject: Re: 5768 and expedited enviro review

Yes - that is correct - three full blown eis options and no build versus two option lites and one full blown option and the no build

From: Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV)
To: Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron
Sent: Thu Apr 16 08:53:48 2009
Subject: FW: 5768 and expedited enviro review

Seems to me the answer to all of these questions is yes--is that accurate?

From: Fleckenstein, Mary [mailto:Fleckenstein.Mary@leg.wa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2009 8:23 PM
To: Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV); Dyer, Hon
Subject: 5768 and expedited enviro review

You said the other day that legislative endorsement of the deep bored tunnel could cut 2 years off the EIS process. Is this because only the deep bored tunnel and a no-build scenario would be studied in the EIS? So WSDOT would have to do fewer studies and evaluate fewer options? If we don't pass this bill, would you have to study the surface option, the elevated replacement, etc?