

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Monday, February 23, 2009 2:45 PM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron  
**Cc:** Powers, Bob; Grace Crunican  
**Subject:** MOA/MOU Progress

Hey all - recent feedback from leg staff is that the next iteration of an MOU/MOA might be helpful to move the viaduct program forward...not sure exactly what might be in it but a basic outline might include the next iteration of what each of us has committed to fund...building off of the letter signed earlier...my initial thought is this is a state-city mou/moa focused on the immediate capital projects each will fund to enable the tunnel construction...might be something like...

...state will use nickel, TPA, federal funds, and tolls up to \$2.82 billion to complete a bored tunnel under first ave from xx to yy, removal of the existing viaduct, construction of a new alaskan way surface street between aa and bb with a direct connection to Elliott and western, replacement of the south 40% of the viaduct between cc and dd (and spell out what is left of the moving forward projects);

...city will use utility tax funding up to \$250 million to remove utilities critical for removal of the existing viaduct and construction of the bored tunnels and portals.

...city also agrees to pursue new funding (via whatever) to complete seawall, city street grid improvements, streetcar and others blah blah

I separated the two scopes in the city program because of concerns their will be an ask for the state to pick up utility costs because of the soft nature of the commitment (not my words) to the utility relocations if the tunnel is pursued...that's an immediate concern...on the longer term front, there are also concerns that the city streets and the seawall will show up again as asks to the state...language to hold the state harmless in funding these pieces would be desirable...

Let's discuss when we get a chance...next phone call or meeting...I'd like to ask Ron and Bob to begin drafting out what this might look like if Grace concurs...

-dave

PS - I think we're back to the drawing board on the transit/MVET funding and not much better on the stimulus funding for Spokane and Mercer (we'll know for sure tomorrow) so if that factors in to this mou/moa now is the time to raise the issue...the flip side of course is that if we can't produce this mou/moa others will be happy to make other decisions for us...