

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2009 4:00 PM  
**To:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Ziegler, Jennifer; Paananen, Ron  
**Cc:** White, John; Brown, Lloyd  
**Subject:** RE: Bored Tunnel Information

Amy - a couple of comments (and great job getting this together):

1. funding table looks great
2. traffic memo is missing "the three key takeaways"...like: SR 99 bored tunnel moves more vehicles north south through town than the existing viaduct and battery street tunnel (like 20 plus thousand) which is good for our regional economy; The SR 99 bored tunnel maintains today's travel times for regional through trips in 2030! (drop all the 2 minute add stuff due to growth - polishing the turd stuff - who really knows?); Revised schedule is great but drop the reference to "environmental impact statement" in the note and say "environmental document" - keep our options open.

other than that, all good...

-dave

---

**From:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2009 3:07 PM  
**To:** Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Cc:** White, John; Brown, Lloyd  
**Subject:** Bored Tunnel Information

Sorry for the delay...

Attached are three things:

1. Updated cost and funding sources table
2. Traffic performance memo
3. Revised schedule

Please let us know if you see any changes needed in these materials or you have questions.

Thanks  
AJG