
From: Bandy, Mark
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 9:17 AM
To: Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); White, John; Paananen, Ron
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Questions for Wednesday's Hearing
Attachments: House_Hearing_Questionsv1 - bandy edits.doc

some answers and edits for you. i'm at the viaduct office all day, mostly in a north portal workshop, but you can yank me out as needed to continue to work this.

i do feel that we need an up front "three things to get across" for the BT critics, not just something that responds to a list of questions.

1. the existing facility is failing. we should do a controlled replacement rather than a catastrophic replacement.
2. the BT four lanes provide the core SR 99 capacity, notably for trips through the downtown and that keeps the rest of our streets less congested and able to serve as the business access, neighborhood access, and local transportation facilities we all need.
3. the BT provides us, the business/freight sector, and our communities with the least construction disruption and the ability to transition to the new configuration over four years.
4. 4/5ths of the trip from ballard to downtown and beyond is unchanged with the bored tunnel versus an elevated replacement. for those that don't do fractions - that's 90%. i would say it's the same proportion for west seattle and burien to downtown.

okay so i ended up with four things and perhaps there are one or two more, but you get the gist.

From: Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2009 2:31 PM
To: White, John; Paananen, Ron; Bandy, Mark
Cc: Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)
Subject: Questions for Wednesday's Hearing

Based on our conversation with Dave, attached are the FAQs that may come up at Wednesday's hearing. There are still questions that need answers (mostly traffic-related) so if you can review and provide any input it would be much appreciated.

Thanks
AJG