
From: Amiri, Ali
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2009 4:38 PM
To: Oblas, Vic (Consultant)
Cc: Preedy, Matt
Subject: RE: Telecom with Bob Dyer

I am available 2 to 3 PM on 1/22.

From: Preedy, Matt
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Oblas, Vic (Consultant); Amiri, Ali
Subject: RE: Telecom with Bob Dyer

Please engage Bob as soon as possible. We need to cover not only the topic mentioned below, but we need to get him engaged on delivery strategy for the bored tunnel and associated projects. I would like to be available for the discussion, I think my schedule is up to date.

Matt.

From: Oblas, Vic (Consultant)
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2009 2:08 PM
To: Preedy, Matt; Amiri, Ali
Subject: FW: Telecom with Bob Dyer

Matt/ Ali: Any interest in me setting up a meeting this week or should I put Bob off for a bit?
Vic

Vic Oblas
Construction Program Planning
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project
Phone: 206.267.6009
Email:Oblasv@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov

From: Oblas, Vic (Consultant)
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2009 10:05 AM
To: Preedy, Matt
Cc: Amiri, Ali; Alseth, Jody
Subject: Telecom with Bob Dyer

Matt: Bob Dyer called me this morning as a follow up to our meeting on December 17. It was at that meeting that we discussed the advantages of lump sum versus unit price. I told him of the memo I wrote up on our meeting and that I had not advanced it much beyond talking to you about it. I asked him if he had talked about it any at his level and he said no, Headquarters would review a request like that if it were made by a design lead, but that he did not believe that it was necessary to discuss the concept with the AGC as I had suggested in the memo. He said that he still felt like it was worthwhile to streamline the bid schedule, especially with items that are easy to quantify. That is, look hard at each project and make a professional judgment at eliminating unit prices where the work can be easily quantifiable by a contractor, and bid those as lump sum, while leaving the elements of the project that are high risk as unit price. While he doesn't review project plans at his level, he suggested that

decisions like that should be made at the 60% design level. Since Headquarters doesn't review local projects until the 100% level I asked him how we could get some indication as to the acceptability of a reduced bid schedule at 60%. I told him that H2K Stage 2 was at 60% and maybe that would be a good test case (I had talked briefly to Ali about this issue last Friday) and Bob said he would be willing to discuss it. He said he would be at UCO next Thursday and asked if we could get together then. I said I would check with you and Ali and get back to him.

So my question is, would you like me to set such a meeting up? It sounds like he can meet any time on that day (Jan 22)?

Vic Oblas
Construction Program Planning
Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project
Phone: 206.267.6009
Email:Oblasv@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov