From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:26 PM

To: Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

For those of you who have not read the Seattle Times today regarding candidate A you should. Front page on how he would replace the tunnels capacity with light rail. His light rail plan happens to go to the neighborhoods that he needs to win if he wants to be Mayor.

From: Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Sent: Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer

Sent: Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.



From: Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda **Sent**: Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009

Subject: RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

Paula

From: Dye, Dave

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM **To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?

2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...

3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?

4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM **To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

Next steps:

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

From: McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

To: Greco, Theresa

Subject: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions — thanks!

Hannah

*** eSafel scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Friday, September 18, 2009 12:11 PM

To: Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I spoke with Tim C this morning. Also spoke with Sally Clark. I think it would be good if we could set up a brief call so I can give you the lay of the land. Thanks Ron:)

From: Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV> **To**: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula

Sent: Thu Sep 17 21:53:35 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I think the Governor signing it would provide very clear evidence of the State's commitment to this option. I suspect we could also get the Chairs to attend a signing event.

From: Dye, Dave

To: Judd, Ron; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer

Sent: Thu Sep 17 20:53:23 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Great - let's touch base sometime too...

-dave

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer

Sent: Thu Sep 17 20:50:41 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I will call him tomorrow morning.

From: Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Sent: Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer

Sent: Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

From: Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda Sent: Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009

Subject: RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

Paula

From: Dve, Dave

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM **To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

- 1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
- 2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
- 3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
- 4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM **To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private

facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

Next steps:

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

From: McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

To: Greco, Theresa

Subject: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions – thanks!

```
*** eSafe1 scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***
```

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 8:51 PM

To: Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09 v9.docx

I will call him tomorrow morning.

From: Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Sent: Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer

Sent: Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09 v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

From: Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda **Sent**: Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009

Subject: RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

Paula

From: Dye, Dave

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM **To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

- 1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
- 2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
- 3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
- 4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM **To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

Next steps:

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

From: McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

To: Greco, Theresa

Subject: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions – thanks!

```
*** eSafe1 scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***
```

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 6:46 PM

To: Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

From: Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda **Sent**: Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009

Subject: RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

From: Dye, Dave

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM **To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

- 1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
- 2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
- 3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
- 4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM **To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

Next steps:

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

From: McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

To: Greco, Theresa

Subject: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions — thanks!

```
*** eSafel scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***
```

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 4:42 PM

To: Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula

Subject: Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

From a historic prospective it does but I think we should move on this in a different way. I believe that given the magnitude of this and what's a stake Paula should reach out to Cindy and let her know that she needs to meet with the Gov on this asap and would like to set down with her and go through the recommendations. Paula, and Dave etc...could provide the background for Cindy on why this is so important to move asap.

From: Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV> **To**: Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron (GOV)

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda Sent: Thu Sep 17 16:22:52 2009

Subject: RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Clint and Robin from OFM should also see it.

I think there should be an informational brief from Paula (I'm happy to draft it). You and Paula should provide the brief and a copy of the agreement to Cindy and Cindy could OK it and give it to the Governor. (All briefing papers go through Cindy first.)

Ron, does that make sense to you?

From: Dye, Dave

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM **To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

Cc: Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

- 1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
- 2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
- 3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
- 4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM **To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

Subject: FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

Next steps:

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

From: McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

To: Greco, Theresa

Subject: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions — thanks!

```
*** eSafe1 scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***
```

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2009 3:39 PM

To: Paananen, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Grotefendt, Amy; Brown, Lloyd

Subject: Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

What time is the committee mtg? Will they be taking public comments?

From: Paananen, Ron <PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Grotefendt,

Amy <agrotefendt@enviroissues.com>; Brown, Lloyd

Sent: Wed Sep 23 15:16:39 2009

Subject: Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

Powers told me to hold Tuesday morning for the committee

From: Judd, Ron (GOV)

To: Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Paananen, Ron; Grotefendt, Amy; Brown, Lloyd

Sent: Wed Sep 23 14:47:22 2009

Subject: Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

Did Phyllis indicate to you where she thought the Trans Committee members were on the ordinance?

From: Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>

To: Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron (GOV); Paananen, Ron < PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Grotefendt,

Amy <agrotefendt@enviroissues.com>; Brown, Lloyd

Sent: Wed Sep 23 14:29:47 2009

Subject: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

I talked to Phyllis from Conlin's office earlier this afternoon. A draft ordinance is under review by the Council's legal division and Phyllis thought she would have a version to share later today. The plan is to release it tomorrow, refer it to the transportation committee on Monday and have the committee consider it on Tuesday. They are inclined to issue a press release tomorrow with the ordinance. If you all have already seen the ordinance and are OK with it, I won't worry about it. Otherwise, I'll distribute it and we should probably check-in to be sure folks are comfortable.

Also, if the Council issues a press release tomorrow, Conlin thinks a quote from the Governor would be helpful. What do you all think?

From: Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]

Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 4:52 PM

To: Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave

Subject: Re: MOA Final Edits 9-11-09_v1.docx

Dave, is this is the document that you I discussed with costc?

From: Paananen, Ron < PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>

To: Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV) **Sent**: Fri Sep 11 16:19:17 2009

Subject: FW: MOA Final Edits 9-11-09_v1.docx

Here is the latest version of City / State MOA on the bored tunnel.

From: Greco, Theresa

Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 4:08 PM

To: Chandler, Bob (Seattle.Gov); 'Hannah McIntosh'; Kling, Joyce

Cc: Paananen, Ron

Subject: MOA Final Edits 9-11-09_v1.docx

Here is the final draft with changes complete -- please note the new file name.

Have a good weekend.

T:)