

# Mayor's Race

---

**From:** Don Stark <dstark@smithandstark.com>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Mon Jul 13 13:10:54 2009  
**Subject:** Press conference tomorrow

See attached. Also attending will be Tayloe Washburn, Port Commissioner Bill Bryant, others....

Don Stark  
Smith & Stark  
206-953-3969-mobile  
New email: [dstark@smithandstark.com](mailto:dstark@smithandstark.com)

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 13, 2009 1:23 PM  
**To:** Zehnder, Cindy; Edwards, Pearse; Loesch, Marty; Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer; Brown, Marty  
**Subject:** Fw: Press conference tomorrow  
**Attachments:** SR99 coalition media advisory\_revised7.13.doc

Just wanted to let you know about this. We did not have time to discuss this morning. We have been discussing this issue with in our coalition and our friends do not want the Mayors race to undermine the resolution to the Viaduct replacement.

---

**From:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 13, 2009 1:24 PM  
**To:** Ziegler, Jennifer; Brown, Lloyd; Dye, Dave; Tobin, Victoria; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Paananen, Ron; White, John  
**Subject:** SR 99 Tunnel Coalition Press Conference

FYI (in case you haven't heard already) -- The SR 99 tunnel coalition press conference is being held to counter some of the statements about the bored tunnel being made in the Seattle council and mayoral races. We'll be ready if the media calls to verify information.

## Dye, Dave

**From:** Brown, Lloyd  
**Sent:** Tue 8/18/2009 10:51 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Reinmuth, Steve; Paananen, Ron; Lenzi, Jerry C  
**Cc:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Coon, Melanie; Rus, Connie  
**Subject:** Election results tonight

The preliminary primary results are likely to bring more attention to the replacement tunnel program. KING 5's "analyst" said on air that the election was close tonight because people are wondering if the replacement is moving ahead.

I thought the release of new simulation videos last week would have helped with that. But nonetheless, we might get questions about how the election could affect the replacement program.

- ldb

**From:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)  
**To:** Brown, Lloyd; Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Reinmuth, Steve; Paananen, Ron; Lenzi, Jerry C  
**Cc:** Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Coon, Melanie; Rus, Connie  
**Sent:** Wed Aug 19 07:25:24 2009  
**Subject:** RE: Election results tonight

I-5 will also be an issue that we may get questioned on, since it is part of the surface option being promoted. We're checking in with Carol Hunter this morning to be sure we have all of the most current information in one spot -- a lot was prepared as part of the central waterfront work last year and it sounds like she has done additional work since then.

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 19, 2009 9:02 AM  
**To:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Brown, Lloyd; Hammond, Paula; Reinmuth, Steve; Paananen, Ron; Lenzi, Jerry C  
**Cc:** Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)  
**Subject:** Re: Election results tonight

We need a quick legal assessment (kimberly, bryce and deborah) on best approach to move forward with the potential for a hostile host city - utilities payment and co-lead status of city are two top of mind problem areas, as well as agreements with city for use of first ave - can we finalize before nickels is gone?

-dave

**From:** Hammond, Paula  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 19, 2009 9:03 AM  
**To:** Brown, Bryce  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** FW: Election results tonight

Great minds think alike...

Dave, can you call me ?

---

**From:** Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Wed Aug 19 13:07:34 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Mayors race

A new chapter for our book - you really "can't make this s\*@t up!"

-dave

## Dye, Dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 19, 2009 1:36 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: Mayors race

Not enough JD in the world to chock this sh...t down

**From:** Paananen, Ron  
**To:** Lenzi, Jerry C; Dye, Dave  
**Cc:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 02 08:46:56 2009  
**Subject:** Viaduct briefing for Mayoral Candidate

I have been contacted by the Mallahan campaign to do a viaduct briefing. It looks like I may spend an hour or so with a couple of campaign staff and Mr. Mallahan sometime on Friday. I'll let you know when the time is confirmed.

**From:** Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 02 08:53:10 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct briefing for Mayoral Candidate

Ron - I'll cc Ron Judd on this and let him make the final call but it may be good to have him along...

-dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 02, 2009 10:28 AM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct briefing for Mayoral Candidate

Thanks Dave for the email. I would like to attend if there is a meeting. As you know everything in my life is revolving around my wifes health issues so if there is a conflict I won't be able to make it. Let's discuss PLA's soon. Take care Ron j

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 02, 2009 10:28 AM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct briefing for Mayoral Candidate

Thanks Dave for the email. I would like to attend if there is a meeting. As you know everything in my life is revolving around my wifes health issues so if there is a conflict I won't be able to make it. Let's discuss PLA's soon. Take care Ron j

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Robert Powers [<mailto:Robert.Powers@Seattle.Gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 08, 2009 10:50 AM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** Plan

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 12:09:55 2009  
**Subject:** Did you talk to Gov?

Is she ready for the 3pm meeting or do I need to get there early? I'm at the Mayors debate now.

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 12:30:18 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

Are the candidates making any sense

---

**From:** Hammond, Paula  
**To:** Judd, Ron  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 12:33:24 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

McGinn is using dori monson arguments... All mega projects come in 30 percent over budget... Wild accusations... Mallahan doesn't argue for the benefits of the tunnel, just that we've spent too much time making a decision. Time to move on. Does he understand why this is a good solution?

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron <PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV)  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 12:44:09 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

Mallahan: To cut waste, reduce Consultants. "Agencies use consultants to distance leaders from accountability"  
McGinn will reduce by half the number of political appointments. And, eliminate the duplication of staff that work in all the various departments of the City.

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 12:57:53 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

Political theater. Not much use in the real world. All about their campaign bs

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron <PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV)  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 10 13:01:29 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

Doug said he's already been in to measure Grace's office, so he's here trying to figure out how to get in with Malahan.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 10, 2009 1:05 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula  
**Cc:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Subject:** Re: Did you talk to Gov?

That would be interesting!!!!!!!!!! Personal growth opportunities

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Paananen, Ron  
**Sent:** Friday, September 11, 2009 12:02 PM  
**To:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)  
**Subject:** FW: Plan

In case you haven't already seen this. We should attach a funding split to this.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 11, 2009 1:14 PM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: Plan

The costs that you sent Amy.....what does the County get for 500 and the we pay for. I am assuming that the COS is for wall, utilities, road..... anything else

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 11 13:09:25 2009  
**Subject:** Fw: Plan

Likely funding split for McGinn plan

----- Original Message -----

From: Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)  
To: Paananen, Ron; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant)  
Sent: Fri Sep 11 12:14:06 2009  
Subject: RE: Plan

State - \$843  
COS - \$1,040  
KC - \$500

---

**From:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant) <GrotefA@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Judd, Ron (GOV); Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 11 10:21:27 2009  
**Subject:** AWW Funding Options

Here is a stab at answering the I-5/transit funding questions we're getting from a variety of sources, using the cost

12/8/2009

---

Page 2 of 2

info released last year and policy decisions made by the legislature earlier this year.

Let me know what you think -- it is written so it could be turned into a public fact sheet, but can obviously be modified if it is going to be used in another format.

Thanks  
AJG

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [mailto:Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 11, 2009 11:32 AM  
**To:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: AWW Funding Options

Amy, this is very helpful. I would ask that we put together talking points that are much more focused on what the City of Seattle will pay regardless of what happens. Candidate MM is trying to suggest that Seattle can get a free ride and should not have to pay 900 +/- mil for the tunnel. This, in the voters mind, is suggesting that they are paying for something they would not have to pay for if it was anything but the tunnel. I think we need to listen to his remarks yesterday and focus our points of discussion directly at his answers. He is simply taking like a populist to raise the anger of voters and we need to respond in kind. Simply put there is NO FREE RIDE FOR SEATTLE. Any option will mean X. Call him out. Folks get lost in all of the facts we deal with everyday on who pays for what. That is what MM is counting on. We need to be simple and to the point..... that Seattle will pay X no matter who's the Mayor and what's the option. My thought's! Thanks Ron j

---

**From:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant) <GrotefA@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov>; Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 11 14:46:49 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWW Funding Options

The short answer is, regardless of what happens the City is responsible for at least \$800 million (seawall, promenade, utilities and City Street improvements), over \$900 million if they build the First Avenue Street car.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** 'paananr@wsdot.wa.gov' <paananr@wsdot.wa.gov>; 'GrotefA@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov' <GrotefA@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov>; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV)  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 11 14:58:53 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWW Funding Options

Just got a call from Mike M. He says he is concerned that he is hearing from our ( his and mine) mutual friends that I am extremely unhappy with his misrepresentation of the viaduct tunnel option and true cost of the COS. He wants to have a beer to clear the air and listen to my thought's on why I believe he is not being forthright with the voters. I told him I might invite WSDOT.....DAVE, Ron P...Jennifer it is a long drive for you so I did not throw your name out but it is my call. Anyone want to join me?

**Dye, Dave**

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 11, 2009 4:52 PM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: MOA Final Edits 9-11-09\_v1.docx

Dave, is this is the document that you I discussed with costc?

---

**From:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 11 16:19:17 2009  
**Subject:** FW: MOA Final Edits 9-11-09\_v1.docx

Here is the latest version of City / State MOA on the bored tunnel.

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa  
**Sent:** Friday, September 11, 2009 4:08 PM  
**To:** Chandler, Bob (Seattle.Gov); 'Hannah McIntosh'; Kling, Joyce  
**Cc:** Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** MOA Final Edits 9-11-09\_v1.docx

Here is the final draft with changes complete -- please note the new file name.

Have a good weekend.

T :)

**Dye, Dave**

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 9:26 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

For those of you who have not read the Seattle Times today regarding candidate A you should. Front page on how he would replace the tunnels capacity with light rail. His light rail plan happens to go to the neighborhoods that he needs to win if he wants to be Mayor.

**From:** Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

Paula

**From:** Dye, Dave

12/8/2009

**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa  
**Sent:** Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

**Next steps:**

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

---

**From:** McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM  
**To:** Greco, Theresa  
**Subject:** AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions - thanks!

12/8/2009

Hannah

\*\*\* eSafel scanned this email for malicious content \*\*\*

\*\*\* IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders \*\*\*

## Dye, Dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 18, 2009 12:11 PM  
**To:** Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I spoke with Tim C this morning. Also spoke with Sally Clark. I think it would be good if we could set up a brief call so I can give you the lay of the land. Thanks Ron :)

---

**From:** Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 21:53:35 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I think the Governor signing it would provide very clear evidence of the State's commitment to this option. I suspect we could also get the Chairs to attend a signing event.

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**To:** Judd, Ron; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 20:53:23 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Great - let's touch base sometime too...

-dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 20:50:41 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I will call him tomorrow morning.

---

**From:** Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009

12/8/2009

**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

---

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.

Paula

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa  
**Sent:** Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private

12/8/2009

facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

**Next steps:**

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

---

**From:** McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

**To:** Greco, Theresa

**Subject:** AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions – thanks!

Hannah

\*\*\* eSafe1 scanned this email for malicious content \*\*\*

\*\*\* IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders \*\*\*

**Dye, Dave**


---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 8:51 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I will call him tomorrow morning.

---

**From:** Dye, Dave <DyeD@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Hammond, Paula; Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 20:48:41 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

The mayor himself wants to sign the agreement last I heard and then get it to council asap - this is a two-party agreement between the state and city - we should give some careful consideration to the gov and mayor signing - it might signal full political commitment - ron, have you checked in with ceis on this?

-dave

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 18:45:40 2009  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?

I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

---

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.  
Paula

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer

12/8/2009

**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa  
**Sent:** Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

**Next steps:**

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

---

**From:** McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM  
**To:** Greco, Theresa  
**Subject:** AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions - thanks!

Hannah

12/8/2009

\*\*\* eSafel scanned this email for malicious content \*\*\*

\*\*\* IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders \*\*\*

**Dye, Dave**


---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 6:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

I don't think there is anyway for this not to go public. The City itself will be public about it. Dave, this is an MOA that would be signed by the principals or the Transpo Directors?  
 I think it comes down to what are we trying to communicate. I thought we were at a place where we wanted to clearly show that we are getting stuff done on the ground and through agreements such as this one. Don't we want to communicate, through these sort of opportunities that the decision has been made. We are not turning back. My concerns are that if we don't demonstrate, through actions, that we have a plan that is being implemented than candidate A will build on his notion that this can be stopped.

---

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 16:34:25 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

My two cents worth is that if it is more of an operational (who does what, and who is responsible for what), that is not an agreement the Governor should sign. Her role was at the letter of agreement she, Sims and Nickols did in January. I'd suggest I sign it, and even wonder if we want to do a public "in your face" kind of moment. Under the radar certainly without trying to hide anything is my vote.  
 Paula

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa

12/8/2009

**Sent:** Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

**Next steps:**

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

---

**From:** McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM  
**To:** Greco, Theresa  
**Subject:** AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions – thanks!

Hannah

\*\*\* eSafel scanned this email for malicious content \*\*\*  
\*\*\* IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders \*\*\*

**Dye, Dave**


---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 4:42 PM  
**To:** Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula  
**Subject:** Re: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

From a historic prospective it does but I think we should move on this in a different way. I believe that given the magnitude of this and what's a stake Paula should reach out to Cindy and let her know that she needs to meet with the Gov on this asap and would like to set down with her and go through the recommendations. Paula, and Dave etc...could provide the background for Cindy on why this is so important to move asap.

---

**From:** Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Sent:** Thu Sep 17 16:22:52 2009  
**Subject:** RE: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Clint and Robin from OFM should also see it.

I think there should be an informational brief from Paula (I'm happy to draft it). You and Paula should provide the brief and a copy of the agreement to Cindy and Cindy could OK it and give it to the Governor. (All briefing papers go through Cindy first.)

Ron, does that make sense to you?

---

**From:** Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 3:46 PM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer  
**Cc:** Aldridge, Jo; Healy, Linda  
**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Hey all - this agreement with the city is nearing the final steps as noted below - the Mayor is chomping at the bit to sign this next week, and is adjusting his budget request to reflect it...

Some questions:

1. Who in Governor's Office wants to see/review beyond Ron?
2. Who should sign for the state? Right now we have Paula...
3. How do we get in briefing for Gov so she knows we're here and moving?
4. Does she want to participate in any kind of public signing or anything like that next week?

Okay, I'm sure there's a million more items - our AG has reviewed (worked on all the way) and is happy with the agreement - thoughts or input very welcome...

-dave

---

**From:** Greco, Theresa  
**Sent:** Thu 9/17/2009 3:24 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron

12/8/2009

**Subject:** FW: AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Attached is the final Umbrella MOA with the City of Seattle for the bored tunnel project. Now is the final call for edits and changes as the mayor plans to sign this Tuesday, Sept 22. Elizabeth Lagerberg, AGO reviewed the agreement. She would like #8 under WSDOT responsibilities struck. However, if everyone has fully considered the breadth of the responsibility, then it is WSDOT's decision to accept the provision "to protect public and private facilities affected by construction of the bored tunnel."

**Next steps:**

Transmitted to the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, Sept 23 (agreement becomes public)

Taken up by the Trans Committee on Sept 29

Full Committee on Oct 5

Mayor Nickels plans to release his budget on Sept 25 and the city funding responsibilities in the agreement contain minor adjustments to be consistent with his budget proposal.

Take care.

Theresa

---

**From:** McIntosh, Hannah [mailto:Hannah.McIntosh@seattle.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, September 17, 2009 2:49 PM

**To:** Greco, Theresa

**Subject:** AWVSRP MOA 9-17-09\_v9.docx

Here is the latest version of the agreement. This will go as an attachment to the ordinance. The actual ordinance draws wholesale from the WHEREAS statements and then just says that council authorizes the Mayor to sign the attached agreement. Let me know if you have any other questions – thanks!

Hannah

\*\*\* eSafel scanned this email for malicious content \*\*\*

\*\*\* IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders \*\*\*

**Dye, Dave**

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 3:39 PM  
**To:** Paananen, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Grotefendt, Amy; Brown, Lloyd  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

What time is the committee mtg? Will they be taking public comments?

---

**From:** Paananen, Ron <PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Grotefendt, Amy <agrotefendt@enviroissues.com>; Brown, Lloyd  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 23 15:16:39 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

Powers told me to hold Tuesday morning for the committee

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Ziegler, Jennifer; Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Paananen, Ron; Grotefendt, Amy; Brown, Lloyd  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 23 14:47:22 2009  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

Did Phyllis indicate to you where she thought the Trans Committee members were on the ordinance?

---

**From:** Ziegler, Jennifer <zieglej@WSDOT.WA.GOV>  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Hammond, Paula; Judd, Ron (GOV); Paananen, Ron <PaananR@WSDOT.WA.GOV>; Grotefendt, Amy <agrotefendt@enviroissues.com>; Brown, Lloyd  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 23 14:29:47 2009  
**Subject:** Viaduct Agreement and Council Action

I talked to Phyllis from Conlin's office earlier this afternoon. A draft ordinance is under review by the Council's legal division and Phyllis thought she would have a version to share later today. The plan is to release it tomorrow, refer it to the transportation committee on Monday and have the committee consider it on Tuesday. They are inclined to issue a press release tomorrow with the ordinance. If you all have already seen the ordinance and are OK with it, I won't worry about it. Otherwise, I'll distribute it and we should probably check-in to be sure folks are comfortable.

Also, if the Council issues a press release tomorrow, Conlin thinks a quote from the Governor would be helpful. What do you all think?

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Tue Sep 22 20:47:39 2009  
**Subject:**

Are we still on for lunch on Thursday? Paula, do you want to pick the place since you've got the tight schedule.

On another note....had an interesting chat with MM today. Will share with you why he thinks the city can get a free ride on the Viaduct.

Thanks Ron

---

**From:** Hammond, Paula <HammonP@wsdot.wa.gov>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV); Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 23 07:25:09 2009  
**Subject:** Re:

Yes. I'll be at PSRC until noon. We could either go to Red Robin or one of the fishy places on the waterfront, or whatever else you two suggest.

Ideas?  
Paula

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2009 9:47 AM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re:

I will meet the two of you at PSRC and we can go from there

---

**From:** Mike Lindblom <mlindblom@seattletimes.com>  
**To:** Judd, Ron (GOV)  
**Sent:** Fri Oct 02 15:48:58 2009  
**Subject:** Highway 99 project

Hi Ron --

Good afternoon. I hope you are well....

I'm writing about the city's Memorandum of Agreement regarding Highway 99.

- \* Does this add protection, legally or politically, to the project?
- \* Is time of the essence because of the campaign by tunnel opponent Mike McGinn for mayor?
- \* Why did it take so long to reach the city, do you know? Seems like all the elements were in place by May, after the legislative session.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 02, 2009 8:11 PM  
**To:** Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron  
**Cc:** Hammond, Paula  
**Subject:** Fw: Highway 99 project

Please see email below from Mike Lindblom. I am with Sandra at the hospital. Can you call or have someone get back to Mike L. Thanks Ron j

---

**From:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant)  
**To:** Brown, Lloyd; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Paananen, Ron; Judd, Ron; Dye, Dave  
**Sent:** Mon Oct 26 10:30:51 2009  
**Subject:** Viaduct media so far

Seattle Times -- quick conversation with Jim Brunner and Ron P.  
KOMO TV -- background conversation with Amy G. May send camera and reporter  
Publicola.net -- left message with Kristy (she still needs to check the message)  
KIRO Radio -- Dye to do taped interview with Dori Monson at 11:15 a.m.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron (GOV) [Ron.Judd@GOV.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 08, 2009 9:00 AM  
**To:** Hammond, Paula; Dye, Dave; Paananen, Ron; Ziegler, Jennifer (GOV)  
**Subject:** Viaduct

Gang, just a heads up that Mike M has been in the field doing a push poll on the Viaduct. I think he will be announcing something about taxes and most interesting polling regarding how voters will be paying for the Viaduct. I would suggest once we know his angle to be prepared to counter his bs. His angle may very well be the cost of the tolls. His numbers are going to be very high. I am assuming we have data that we can present publicly that raises awareness of the facts.

---

**From:** Judd, Ron  
**Sent:** Monday, October 26, 2009 11:17 AM  
**To:** Grotefendt, Amy (Consultant); Brown, Lloyd; Van Ness, Kristy (Consultant); Lenz, KaDeena (Consultant); Paananen, Ron; Dye, Dave  
**Subject:** Re: Viaduct media so far

I think we should try and get someone on the Dave Ross show as soon as possible.....with call in questions if possible

---