Graves, Natalie (Consultant)

From: Halsted, Jesse (Consultant)

Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2007 1:57 PM

To: Fendt, Kathy (Consultant)

Subject: RE: Minutes from 9/05 Meeting

The code amendment I was talking about below was the overheard utility line issue. Sandy and Joyce have talked about the Staging amendment but it shouldn't impact Utility, probably only the N and S ends. Without seeing the entire language, based on what Sandy said was being changed. I would argue that the change is "necessary" so that DPD has control over staging. It basically seems to define staging as a use and apply the sound transit language from various parts of the code to the MFPs. Goes back to what I have continually said that the code does not currently consider staging a use that requires a MUP. I have asked for the amendment language before to no avail.

From: Fendt, Kathy (Consultant)

Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2007 11:11 AM

To: Halsted, Jesse (Consultant)

Subject: RE: Minutes from 9/05 Meeting

From yesterday's EST meeting - , Joyce said she is working on a code amendment to allow for issuance of a Type I MUP for staging outside the ROW. She also said they are thinking of developing and employing a checklist of performance standards to help facilitate that permitting. I asked if we would be able to review that checklist before it was final and she said that was the intent. Joyce was adamant that a code amendment will be required.

Kathy Fendt Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project Permitting Team (206) 267-3833

From: Halsted, Jesse (Consultant)

Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2007 10:23 AM

To: Fendt, Kathy (Consultant)

Subject: RE: Minutes from 9/05 Meeting

No time commitments but

- Jesse Halsted will confirm with project staff if overhead poles will be required and inform DPD staff. Just confirmed will let everyone know
- Margaret Glowacki will pursue the possibility of using a SSD exemption for the overhead poles. They already got back to us but its a moot point anyway
- Roberta Baker and Sandy Gurkewitz will within their agencies confirm the demolition review
 process and whether a separate demolition permit submittal would be required. I'll check in
 toward the end of the week
- Joyce Kling is tracking and coordinating the street use code amendments and proposed Director's Rule. The code amendment we are questioning the need for, the DR for the land use issue is pretty much out of our hands but I will check in with Joyce.

From: Fendt, Kathy (Consultant)

Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2007 9:37 AM

To: Halsted, Jesse (Consultant)

Subject: RE: Minutes from 9/05 Meeting

Were there any time commitments identified for when each responsible party would get back the information and decisions they committed to? If not, what's your next step in following up?

Kathy Fendt Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project Permitting Team (206) 267-3833

From: Halsted, Jesse (Consultant)

Sent: Monday, September 10, 2007 1:06 PM

To: Stenberg, Kathryn; Fendt, Kathy (Consultant); Chen, Wally (Consultant); Sandra Gurkewitz; Kling, Joyce;

'margaret.glowacki@seattle.gov'; 'roberta.baker@seattle.gov'

Subject: Minutes from 9/05 Meeting

Everyone,

Attached are my notes from the meeting we had on 9/05 regarding the Electrical Line Relocation Project. Please let me know if anyone has comments.

Jesse Halsted Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project Permit Team 206-267-6884