From:Williamson, AlecSent:Thursday, February 05, 2009 4:00 PMTo:Greco, Theresa; White, JohnCc:Preedy, MattSubject:FW: Initial Bored Tunnel Implementation Plan (Design)Attachments:Implementation Plan for Bored Tunnel Delivery.doc

Per our lunchtime discussion today- we made good progress on the Task CE "design support of the EIS: snapshot drawing set" scope discussion with PB this afternoon. (My note below references task order CD which has since been revised to CE) Our plan is to negotiate on Tuesday afternoon if our estimates are close on Monday. We will let you know as soon as we have the estimates as to how they compare.

Alec

From: Williamson, Alec
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 10:40 AM
To: Preedy, Matt
Cc: Robison, Jim (Consultant); Schmitt, Sara; Amiri, Ali; Lacy, Paul; Grigware, Mike; Clark, Gordon T. (Consultant); Jarnagan, Harry (Consultant); Anderson, Ward; Benito, Roland; Anderson, Mark - UCO; Conte, Rick (Consultant); Rigsby, Mike (Consultant)
Subject: Initial Bored Tunnel Implementation Plan (Design)

Hi Matt-

Per your request, attached is my initial cut at an outline for implementation of the bored tunnel design. The focus is short term- through June of this year. This will be the basic framework from which we will scope task order CD with PB for configuration plans and other design support of the RFQ/RFP process. Please let me know when you would like to discuss it with John and Theresa- I am welcome to feedback, it is just a start and will need fleshing out over the next couple of weeks. As you will see, I think we will need additional budget to make this happen. My prior budgeting assumptions were for a continuation of the planning process; the current expectation is ultrafast track delivery for a program of this magnitude. We will need to get off to a fast start to have any chance of meeting the schedule.

Alec

Implementation Plan for Bored Tunnel Delivery

Dimensions

Milestones

Major Deliverables

Scope and Project Elements

Teams

Resource/Budget Needs

Key Assumptions

Milestones

Key project objectives Bored tunnel open to traffic by 12/15 ROD by end of 12/10 Begin tunnel boring by 1/12

> Key short term milestones to support EIS development Preferred alternative selection: 1/09 Geometric Design Criteria Definition: 3/09 North portal interchange config: 4/09 South portal interchange config: 4/09 Bored tunnel basic configuration plan set: 6/09 EIS analysis launch: 7/09

Key milestones to support tunnel RFQ/RFP process Contract package definition 6/09? RFQ Advertisement 9/09? Award Constructor Contract 4/10

Major Deliverables

Short Term (first 6 months)

Basic Configuration Plans

Plan, Profile, Sections Bored tunnel and CC tunnel portal locations North and South Interchange Plans Horizontal Alignments w/Stationing Draft R/W Limits Identified Ventilation Approach and Validation Egress Approach and Validation Monitoring and Controls Approach Major ITS Facilities Plan Detour/Maintenance of Traffic Approach

Design Approval Package

Access Determination Current Classification: Class 1 Managed Access Acquiring Limited Access Cost and schedule implications Typically higher design speed -55mph Principal Arterial Standards Transitions/interface at north and south Jurisdictional O/M clarity **Managed Access** Existing corridor analysis 45 mph design speed – flexibility Managed Access Standards – flexibility Consistent with north and south ends Local Agreement for O/M Design Speed Corridor Report (if needed to set design speed) Design Matrix Selection Deviation Identification

Phase 1 of Geotechnical Baseline Report

Assume 10 deep borings on current alignment Permitting/Traffic Control No analysis and reporting Medium Term (6-12 months)

Start Geotechnical Baseline Report
Assume an additional 20 borings

Limited analysis and reporting

Begin RFQ Process Tunneling Machine Contract DB Contract **Engage TBM and Contracting Community**

Advertise RFQ

Scope and Project Elements Four Lane Bored Tunnel South cut and cover w/facilities South off-ramp and north on-ramp North cut and cover w/facilities Multiple tight geometry access points north of Denny New roadway between AW and Elliott/Western Viaduct removal – limits?

Teams

South Portal Design Bored Tunnel Design North Portal Design "Armory Way" connector Civil Standards/Criteria Fire and Life Safety Standards/Criteria Major Discipline Support Transportation/Traffic Utilities

Geotechnical

Public Involvement

Program Mgmt

Environmental

Cost Estimating

Structural Engineering

Technical and Other Resources

HMM Tunneling and Systems

HMM Geotechnical

Tolling

Contract Packaging

EIS Strategy/Streamlining

CEVP

Value Engineering

Project Management Planning

FHWA Coordination/Approvals

07/09 Biennium Resource/Budget Needs

Design Teams

5 FTE transitioning to 25 FTE

Range estimate – add'l \$2.0-\$2.4 million

TDY/ODC additional ???

Geotechnical Investigation

Range estimate – \$700-850 thousand

HMM Support (Task AC)

\$500-600 thousand

Other Technical Resources/Specialty Services

\$400 thousand (SWAG)

GEC Management/Overhead

\$400-600 thousand

Key Assumptions

Tunnel Preferred Alternative Decision 1/09

Construction Traffic Impacts Minimal (no long term closures of SR 99)

Viaduct remains open until bored tunnel open to traffic

EIS contains one build and one no-build only

Tunnel is Design Build Construction

Tunnel is single bore 54' diameter

Tunnel alignment is as shown on 1/09 roll plot

EIS includes only basic two lane roadway from Elliott/Western to Alaskan Way at Pike

Viaduct removal south of Pike not included in EIS

Seawall not included in EIS

North Alaskan Way not included in EIS

Streetcar not included in EIS