
From: MacClellan, Lee
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2009 2:01 PM
To: Hudson, Joe
Subject: RE: SR 99 Holgate to King Stage 2 DAP/PDA review package

Sir, I am mortally offended by this cruel statement. Duel, tommorow, dawn. Bring your second.

From: Hudson, Joe
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2009 1:56 PM
To: MacClellan, Lee
Subject: FW: SR 99 Holgate to King Stage 2 DAP/PDA review package

Notice the wording in **RED below!** I wanted say I did a bad job of proof reading what I sent to you last week! Definitely didn't want to sound like I was talking about you.

From: Hudson, Joe
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2009 1:49 PM
To: MacClellan, Lee
Subject: RE: SR 99 Holgate to King Stage 2 DAP/PDA review package

Lee,

Attached are some comments that I had for the two of the deviations and the PDA memo. Some are just suggestions for use as you please. General comments below:

Deviations 1 & 2- if the design speed is lowered to 50 mph the sight distance deviations are eliminated.

Deviation 3- I provided some minor comments but I am unclear as to why this is a deviation or why shouldn't the tunnel team prepare this for the entire limited access acquirement.

PDA- I think I did a bad job a proof reading the MOT strategy / transition structure info. You might want to look through this or I can make the appropriate changes. There are also more recent studies and reports that maybe should be referenced in this document.

Let me know if you have any questions or need help finishing all of the sections of the PDA (it seems like it is a big task).

Joe Hudson
206-267-6533
WSDOT - Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program

From: MacClellan, Lee
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 4:18 PM
To: Barry, Ed (UCO); Klockenteger, John; Sielbach, Kurt; Everett, Susan
Cc: Anderson, Mark - UCO; Beadle, Steve; Prieto, Jose; Hudson, Joe
Subject: SR 99 Holgate to King Stage 2 DAP/PDA review package

Attached for your review and comment are the draft design documents for the SR 99 Holgate to King Stage 2 project.

The signature-ready copy will be divided into 9 sections which are listed below. The documents submitted for review are in **BOLD**; those that will be included in the final document but not submitted in this review are in *italics*.

SECTION	Documents
1. Design Memo-	Design Memo
2. Project Summary Documents	<i>Project Summary sheets, Trend sheets</i>
3. Corridor Analysis	<i>Corridor Analysis (June 2009)</i>
4. Construction Corridor Analysis	<i>Construction Corridor Analysis (June 2009) (will be sent for review separately)</i>
5. Design Parameter Sheets	SR 99 NB and SB
6. Design Variances	Deviation 1 & 2 Horizontal Stopping Sight Distance, Deviation #3 Access Control, Deviation #4 Maximum Superelevation Rate, DVIS inventory
7. Channelization Plans	<i>not included</i>
8. Profile Plans	SR 99 mainline
9. Cost Estimate	<i>CEVP summary</i>

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you,

**Lee MacClellan
UCO Region Design Technical Specialist
Alaskan Way Viaduct Holgate To King Stage 2**

**M-F 830-1700
206-267-3819**

"If one learns from others but does not think, one will be bewildered. If, on the other hand, one thinks but does not learn from others, one will be in peril."

--K'ung-fu-tzu (Confucious)