From: MacClellan, Lee

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 4:37 PM

To: Hudson, Joe

Subject: RE: Holgate Curve materials

Attachments: 08_12_09 Dev 1 2 - SR 99 Mainline Holgate Curve Deviations Report.doc;

FW: for review/comment: SR 99 H2KS2 Access Deviation.doc

The attached document is the approved one from last December. Use that as a template, as it contains the explanation. Within the email is the document with all the comments from HQ, as well as the updated information you provided.

From: Hudson, Joe

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 4:24 PM

To: MacClellan, Lee

Subject: RE: Holgate Curve materials

Lee.

For the three deviation packets you gave me two of them were old and one is the updated one for P-1 standards. I was going to address the comments for the updated one but what did you want me to do with the old ones? They seem irrelevant now.

Joe

From: MacClellan, Lee

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 2:46 PM

To: Hudson, Joe

Subject: FW: Holgate Curve materials

This is the graphic that John had produced which I was going to include with the deviation.

From: Fenedick, John (Consultant)
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 7:05 PM

To: MacClellan, Lee

Subject: Holgate Curve materials

Lee,

The attached graphic, along with the superelevation diagrams that you've already seen, may be sufficient for the Wednesday morning meeting. Some rewording / rephrasing may be helpful. Also, I did not elaborate on the need to apply 40% of the super-transition on the curve for the 55 mph P-1 design. Also note that our approved deviation for HSSD did not assume a sightline over the barrier; with that assumption in place, our current design meets 55 mph HSSD.

Let me know what you think. I can make simple revisions quickly in the morning. Regards,

John D. Fenedick, P.E. Senior Civil Engineer Parsons Brinckerhoff 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98104 P: 206-267-3843 F: 206-382-5291 AWVSR Program-mail: FenediJ@wsdot.wa.gov