
From: MacClellan, Lee
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 3:48 PM
To: Fenedick, John (Consultant)
Subject: FW: Construction Corridor Analysis (4-28-09)
Attachments: Draft SR 99 Construction Corridor Analysis(JPH 4-24-08).doc; FIGURE 1 (Program Graphic 4-27-09).pdf; FIGURE 2 (SPEED LIMIT GRAPHIC 4-27-09).pdf; FIGURES 3 -7 (SOUTH END TRANSITION STRUCTURE FOR CON. CORRIDOR ANALYSIS).pdf

[FYI and comments](#)

From: Hudson, Joe
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 6:15 PM
To: MacClellan, Lee
Subject: Construction Corridor Analysis (4-28-09)

Attached are some elements to what I have worked on the last few days. It still probably needs a lot of work as I was interrupted by other work periodically. The document (with placeholders for figures) and the figures are attached. Below are some general comments.

- The graphics need to be worked on some more
- The deviated elements from 50 mph UMA-1 need to be looked into more. This may take some time.
- I think that John Fenedick (from the South End projects) and Stephany Kirby (from the north portal projects) should maybe look this over in-house before we send it out for review.
- I changed the look of the document and tried to incorporate all of your comments but let me know if you don't like this approach.
- I think we need to sit down with some traffic folks and possibly traffic analysis people to determine if my design speeds were appropriate. I don't know if we need any traffic analysis done to what impacts these detours will have.

Let me know what you think. I won't be in the office tomorrow or Thursday. I didn't have time to make the agenda for Thursday sorry.