
From: Gale, Adam (Consultant)
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 11:27 AM
To: 'Stalter, Jeff'
Cc: Page, Heather (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Draft - Pre-decisional DPD Commitments and Approach - SR 99 Bored Tunnel Design-Build Project
Attachments: DRAFT_Predecisional_MPNV_102909.pdf; Noise BMPs-10282009.doc

Hi Jeff,

Thanks for taking the time to talk with Heather and me Tuesday morning. As we discussed, a noise management and mitigation plan is being prepared to address noise-generating construction activities, potential locations and durations of these activities, and noise mitigation measures. This document, as well as the list of anticipated noise BMPs, will be included with the Request for Proposal, which will be finalized by mid-November. In addition, the list has been revised based off our Tuesday conversation and attached to this email (all changes in red text). Can you please take a look to verify that the changes meet the City's intentions? If possible, we would greatly appreciate your review of this list as well as the following request by next Tuesday, 11/3. Please let me know if this date will be a problem.

Also attached is the schedule for the Major Public Project Noise Variance application that was developed from the current version of the Director's Rule. Can you please verify that the process and timelines are accurate? Please note that the dates are very tentative at this point and should not be taken as our submittal schedule. We are really looking for input on process in order to coincide with our internal public outreach schedule.

You had asked about a graphic detailing the project's delineation, particularly at the portals, and approximate locations of noise-generating construction activities. This graphic is currently being prepared and will be ready for our pre-application meeting, to be scheduled around December 1 (I'll coordinate with you on scheduling).

Thanks, Jeff.

Adam Gale

Alaskan Way Viaduct

Permitting Team

Main: (206)267-6884

Alt: (206)903-3376

From: Stalter, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Stalter@seattle.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 11:20 AM
To: Gale, Adam (Consultant)
Subject: RE: Draft - Pre-decisional DPD Commitments and Approach - SR 99 Bored Tunnel Design-Build Project

Hi Adam,

Please have a consultant provide site and project specific recommendations. The document provided is too general to be of use for the major public project variance. Please follow the directors rule (draft attached)

“Can the variance that DPD issues on that application allow the DB to start work immediately (knowing that the DB may need to supplement the NMMP with further analysis and additional mitigation if means/methods change from our assumptions)? “

Yes we can, provided we receive a nighttime noise mitigation plan for the proposed construction activity.

Jeff Stalter
City of Seattle
Department of Planning and Development
700 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
PO Box 34019
Seattle, WA 98104
P 206.615.1760
F 206.386.0761
jeff.stalter@seattle.gov

From: Adam (Consultant) Gale [mailto:galeada@consultant.wsdot.wa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 4:40 PM
To: Stalter, Jeff; George, David; Keys, Vic
Cc: Heather (Consultant) Page; Allison Hanson; Jim D. Laughlin; Timothy V. Sexton
Subject: Draft - Pre-decisional DPD Commitments and Approach - SR 99 Bored Tunnel Design-Build Project

David and Vic:

Thanks for taking the time today to attend the first of several coordination meetings to discuss the SR 99 Bored Tunnel Alternative Design-Build project. As we discussed in the meeting, the intent of this early coordination is to reach concurrence on the permitting approach for obtaining a Major Public Projects Noise Variance and to outline pertinent information to include into the Request for Proposal (RFP), which will

be finalized by mid-November. The attached list of Noise Mitigation BMPs will be incorporated into the RFP. Please feel free to edit and/or add conditions that ensure that WSDOT and the DB comply with your regulations/concerns.

In addition, please confirm the following on the permitting approach:

1. WSDOT will submit the application and Noise Management and Mitigation Plan (NMMP) based on preliminary design and a worst-case scenario. Can the variance that DPD issues on that application allow the DB to start work immediately (knowing that the DB may need to supplement the NMMP with further analysis and additional mitigation if means/methods change from our assumptions)?
2. If not, are there alternative means or ideas to allow the DB to start work immediately pending DPD approval of their NMMP supplement? What would be the timeline for approving the NMMP supplement?
3. Please confirm the process for approval (per the schedule handout today).

We understand that this project is of different magnitude than previous projects; however, it is the goal of WSDOT to include as accurate of information as possible into the RFP to provide the Design-Builder a full understanding of the constraints of the project and to better estimate their cost assumptions. As the project progresses, we will continue to coordinate with you.

Please provide a response by **Wednesday , October 21** – let me know if you would prefer to discuss our questions in person. Any comments can be inserted in track-change directly into the document.

Thanks,

Adam Gale

Alaskan Way Viaduct

Permitting Team

Main: (206)267-6884

Alt: (206)903-3376

*** eSafe2 scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***